

Primeness, Primitivity and Radicals in Near-rings of Continuous Functions

Geoff Booth

Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University

Email: geoff.booth@nmmu.ac.za

1 Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 A *near-ring* is a triple $(N, +, \cdot)$, where

1. $(N, +)$ is a group;
2. (N, \cdot) is a semigroup;
3. $(x + y)z = xz + yz$ for all $x, y, z \in N$.

In the sequel we will speak of “the near-ring N ”, rather than “the near-ring $(N, +, \cdot)$ ” and of xy , rather than $x \cdot y$. If $x0 = 0$ for all $x \in N$, then N is said to be *zero-symmetric*. All near-rings discussed here will be zero-symmetric.

Homomorphisms, *epimorphisms* and *isomorphisms* are defined as they are for rings. *Ideals* of a near-ring N are the kernels of homomorphic mappings of N . The notation $A \triangleleft N$ means “ A is an ideal of N ”. A *subnear-ring* of N is a subset S of N which is a near-ring with respect to the operations on N .

Definition 1.2 Let $(G, +)$ be a (not necessarily abelian) group, and let $M_0(G) := \{a : G \rightarrow G \mid a(0) = 0\}$. Then $M_0(G)$ is a zero-symmetric near-ring with the operations pointwise addition and composition of functions.

We remark that for any group G , $M_0(G)$ is *simple*, i.e. it has only the two trivial ideals.

Definition 1.3 Let $(G, +)$ be a topological group, and let $N_0(G) := \{a : G \rightarrow G \mid a \text{ is continuous and } a(0) = 0\}$. Then $N_0(G)$ is a zero-symmetric near-ring with the operations pointwise addition and composition of functions.

In the sequel, G will be a T_0 (and hence completely regular) topological group.

Remarks 1.4

1. Clearly, $N_0(G)$ is a subnear-ring of $M_0(G)$. If the topology on G is discrete, then $N_0(G) = M_0(G)$.
2. While $M_0(G)$ is simple for any group G , $N_0(G)$ seldom is, if G is not discrete. For example, let $P := \{a \in N_0(G) \mid a(U) = 0 \text{ for some open set } U \text{ such that } 0 \in U\}$. Then P is frequently a non-trivial ideal of $N_0(G)$.

For all undefined concepts concerning near-rings, we refer to Pilz [9]. For further information on near-rings of continuous functions, we refer to the surveys by Magill [7], [8].

2 Primeness

Definition 2.1 A near-ring N is

1. *0-semiprime* if $A \triangleleft N$, $A^2 = 0$ implies $A = 0$;
2. *0-prime* if $A, B \triangleleft N$, $AB = 0$ implies $A = 0$ or $B = 0$;
3. *3-semiprime* if $a \in N$, $aNa = 0$ implies $a = 0$;
4. *3-prime* if $a, b \in N$, $aNb = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$;
5. *equiprime* (*e-prime*) if $a, x, y \in N$, $anx = any$ for all $n \in N$ implies $a = 0$ or $x = y$.

The relationships between the above concepts are $\text{equiprime} \implies \text{3-prime} \implies \text{0-prime} \implies \text{0-semiprime}$ and $\text{3-prime} \implies \text{3-semiprime} \implies \text{0-semiprime}$.

Equiprimeness is of particular interest from the radical-theoretic viewpoint in that it leads to the only known Kurosh-Amitsur prime radical in the varieties of both zero-symmetric and general near-rings [4].

Proposition 2.2 [5] Let G be a topological group which is 0-dimensional or arcwise connected. Then $N_0(G)$ is equiprime.

Since the discrete topology is 0-dimensional, this implies that $M_0(G)$ is equiprime. This need not be the case for $N_0(G)$ in general, as the following example shows.

Example 2.3 Let $G = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ have the product topology with respect to the usual and discrete topologies on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Z}_2 , respectively. Let $I :=$

$\{a \in N_0(G) \mid a(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times 0\}$ and $J := \{a \in N_0(G) \mid a(\mathbb{R} \times 0) = 0\}$. Then $I, J \triangleleft N_0(G)$ and $I \cap J \neq 0$. Moreover, $(I \cap J)^2 = 0$, so $N_0(G)$ is not 0-semiprime.

3 Primitivity

A number of notions of primitivity exist in the literature of near-rings, which can be defined in terms of left N -modules, which are defined in a natural way (cf [9]). We recall that the *annihilator* of an N -module G is the set $(0 : G) = \{x \in N \mid xG = 0\}$. G is said to be *faithful* if $(0 : G) = 0$.

Definition 3.1 A left N -module of a near-ring N is said to be:

1. of *type 2* if $NG \neq 0$ and $Ng = 0$ or $Ng = G$, for all $g \in G$.
2. of *type 3* if N is of type 2 and $g_1, g_2 \in G, ng_1 = ng_2$ for all $n \in N$ implies $g_1 = g_2$ (cf Holcombe [6]).

Definition 3.2 A near-ring N is ν -*primitive* ($\nu = 2, 3$) if there exists a faithful left N -module of type ν .

We remark that 2-primitive \Rightarrow 0-prime, and 3-primitive \Rightarrow equiprime. In general the notions of 2-primitive and equiprime are not comparable [4]. However, in the case that N has a unity, the notions of 2-primitive and 3-primitive coincide.

Proposition 3.3 [3] Suppose that G is arcwise connected or 0-dimensional. Then $N_0(G)$ is 3-primitive on G .

4 Radicals

Definition 4.1 Let N be a near-ring and let $I \triangleleft N$. Then

1. I is a ν -*prime ideal* of N ($\nu = 0, 3, e$) if the factor near-ring N/I is ν -prime;
2. the ν -*prime radical* of N , $\mathcal{P}_\nu(N)$, is the intersection of the ν -prime ideals of N .

Definition 4.2 Let N be a near-ring and let $I \triangleleft N$. Then

1. I is a ν -primitive ideal of N ($\nu = 2, 3$) if the factor near-ring N/I is ν -primitive;
2. the ν -Jacobson radical of N , $\mathcal{J}_\nu(N)$, is the intersection of the ν -primitive ideals of N .

The radicals \mathcal{P}_e , \mathcal{J}_2 and \mathcal{J}_3 are known to be Kurosh-Amitsur in the variety of zero-symmetric near-rings [4], [6]. The following inclusion relations exist: $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_e \subseteq \mathcal{J}_3$. The radicals \mathcal{P}_e and \mathcal{J}_2 are not comparable.

Proposition 4.3 [1] Let G be a disconnected topological group, with open components which are arcwise connected and which contain more than one element. Let H be the component of G which contains 0, $I := \{a \in N_0(G) \mid a(G) \subseteq H\}$ and $J := \{a \in N_0(G) \mid a(H) = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}_0(N_0(G)) = \mathcal{J}_3(N_0(G)) = I \cap J$.

We remark that, because of the inclusion relations among the radicals which we have discussed above, Proposition 4.3 implies that they all coincide in this case.

5 Sandwich Near-rings

Definition 5.1 Let X and G be a topological space and a topological group respectively, and let $\theta : G \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map. The *sandwich near-ring* $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is the set $\{a : X \rightarrow G \mid a \text{ is continuous and } a\theta(0) = 0\}$. Addition is pointwise and multiplication is defined by $a \cdot b := a\theta b$. In the sequel, $\theta(0)$ will be denoted x_0 . If the topologies on X and G are discrete we denote the near-ring by $M_0(G, X, \theta)$.

In this section we will assume that both G and X have more than one element.

Proposition 5.2 [5] Suppose that X is a 0-dimensional. Then $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is equiprime if and only if θ is injective and $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = G$, where $\text{cl}(\theta(G))$ denotes the closure of $\theta(G)$ in X .

Proposition 5.3 [1], [3] Let $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ be a sandwich near-ring such that $\theta^{-1}\theta(0) = \{0\}$ and either (1) X is a T_0 , 0-dimensional topological space or (2) X is completely regular and G is arcwise connected. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = X$.
2. $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is 3-semiprime.
3. $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is 3-prime.
4. $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is 2-primitive (and is 2-primitive on G in this case).

Proposition 5.4 [1], [3] Let X and G be a completely regular topological space and an arcwise connected topological group, respectively, and let $\theta : G \rightarrow X$ be a continuous, injective map. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = X$.
2. $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is 3-semiprime.
3. $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is equiprime.
4. $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ is 3-primitive.

Proposition 5.5 [1], [3] Let $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ be a sandwich near-ring, where $\theta^{-1}\theta(0) = \{0\}$ and either (1) X is T_0 and 0-dimensional or (2) X is completely regular and G is arcwise connected. Then $\mathcal{P}_0(N_0(G, X, \theta)) = \mathcal{J}_2(N_0(G, X, \theta)) = (0 : G) = \{a \in N_0(G, X, \theta) : a\theta = 0\}$.

We remark that, in view of the inclusion relations that exist among the radicals, $\mathcal{P}_0(N_0(G, X, \theta)) = \mathcal{J}_2(N_0(G, X, \theta))$ in this case.

Proposition 5.5 [1], [3] Let $N_0(G, X, \theta)$ be a sandwich near-ring, where θ is injective and either (1) X is T_0 and 0-dimensional or (2) X is completely regular and G is arcwise connected. Then $\mathcal{P}_0(N_0(G, X, \theta)) = \mathcal{J}_3(N_0(G, X, \theta)) = (0 : G) = \{a \in N_0(G, X, \theta) : a\theta = 0\}$.

The inclusion relations among the radicals imply that all the radicals which have been discussed will coincide in this case.

References

- [1] G.L. Booth: Primeness in near-rings of continuous functions 2, *Beiträge Alg. Geom.* 46 (2005), No. 1, 207-214.
- [2] G.L. Booth: Prime radicals in sandwich near-rings, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 131 (2011), Nos. 1-2, 25-34.

- [3] G.L.Booth: Primitivity in near-rings of continuous functions, *Topology and its App.*, in press.
- [4] G.L. Booth, N.J. Groenewald and S. Veldsman: A Kurosh-Amitsur prime radical for near-rings, *Comm. in Algebra* 18 (1990), No. 9, 3111-3122.
- [5] G.L. Booth and P.R. Hall: Primeness in near-rings of continuous functions, *Beiträge Alg. Geom.* 45 (2004), No. 1, 21-27.
- [6] M. Holcombe: A hereditary radical for near-rings, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 17 (1982), Nos. 453-456.
- [7] K.D. Magill: Near-rings of continuous self-maps: a brief survey and some open problems, *Proc. Conf. San Bernadetto del Tronto, 1981*, 25-47, 1982.
- [8] K.D. Magill: A survey of topological nearrings and nearrings of continuous functions, *Proc. Tenn. Top. Conf.*, World Scientific Pub. Co., Singapore, 1997, 121-140.
- [9] G. Pilz: *Near-rings*, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.