

On flat bundles in characteristic 0 and $p > 0$

Hélène Esnault

Krakow, July 2012

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$ (Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$
(Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a
- ▶ $=$ (Grothendieck) group of automorphisms of the fiber functor

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$
(Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a
- ▶ =(Grothendieck) group of automorphisms of the fiber functor
- ▶ (topological covers) \rightarrow (sets) $(\pi : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(a)$

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$
(Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a
- ▶ =(Grothendieck) group of automorphisms of the fiber functor
- ▶ (topological covers) \rightarrow (sets) $(\pi : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(a)$
- ▶ pro-finite completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H \text{ finite quotient}$

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$
(Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a
- ▶ =(Grothendieck) group of automorphisms of the fiber functor
- ▶ (topological covers) \rightarrow (sets) $(\pi : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(a)$
- ▶ pro-finite completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H \text{ finite quotient}$
- ▶ pro-algebraic completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H = \bar{\text{Im}}(\rho), \rho : \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$
(Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a
- ▶ =(Grothendieck) group of automorphisms of the fiber functor
- ▶ (topological covers) \rightarrow (sets) $(\pi : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(a)$
- ▶ pro-finite completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H$ finite quotient
- ▶ pro-algebraic completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H = \overline{\text{Im}}(\rho), \rho : \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$
- ▶ =(Riemann-Hilbert) automorphism group of the fiber functor:
(algebraic regular singular (E, ∇)) \mapsto (K vector space $E|_a$):
Tannaka theory

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$
(Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a
- ▶ =(Grothendieck) group of automorphisms of the fiber functor
(topological covers) \rightarrow (sets) $(\pi : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(a)$
- ▶ pro-finite completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H \text{ finite quotient}$
- ▶ pro-algebraic completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H = \overline{\text{Im}}(\rho), \rho : \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$
- ▶ =(Riemann-Hilbert) automorphism group of the fiber functor:
(algebraic regular singular (E, ∇)) \mapsto (K vector space $E|_a$):
Tannaka theory
- ▶ factorization
 $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$

Characteristic 0

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} , $a \in X(\mathbb{C})$, $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) =$
(Poincaré) group of homotopy classes of loops centered at a
- ▶ =(Grothendieck) group of automorphisms of the fiber functor
(topological covers) \rightarrow (sets) $(\pi : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(a)$
- ▶ pro-finite completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H$ finite quotient
- ▶ pro-algebraic completion of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) = \varprojlim H, H = \bar{\text{Im}}(\rho), \rho : \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$
- ▶ =(Riemann-Hilbert) automorphism group of the fiber functor:
(algebraic regular singular (E, ∇)) \mapsto (K vector space $E|_a$):
Tannaka theory
- ▶ factorization
 $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$
- ▶ (group of finite type) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} -pro-algebraic group) \rightarrow
(topological pro-finite group)

Characteristic 0 II

- ▶ $K = \bar{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$: $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X \otimes K', a \otimes K') \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \otimes K'$ not injective (no base change), $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X \otimes K', a \otimes K') = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ (base change), $K' = \bar{K}' \subset \mathbb{C}$

Characteristic 0 II

- ▶ $K = \bar{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$: $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X \otimes K', a \otimes K') \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \otimes K'$ not injective (no base change), $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X \otimes K', a \otimes K') = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ (base change), $K' = \bar{K}' \subset \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ **Thm** (Grothendieck-Malcev over \mathbb{C}) Let $f : (X, a) \rightarrow (Y, b)$ inducing $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{f_* \cong} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y, b)$. Then
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{f_* \cong} \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(Y, b)$

Characteristic 0 II

- ▶ $K = \bar{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$: $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$
 $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X \otimes K', a \otimes K') \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \otimes K'$ not injective (no base change), $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X \otimes K', a \otimes K') = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ (base change), $K' = \bar{K}' \subset \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ **Thm** (Grothendieck-Malcev over \mathbb{C}) Let $f : (X, a) \rightarrow (Y, b)$ inducing $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{f_* \cong} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y, b)$. Then $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{f_* \cong} \pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(Y, b)$
- ▶ *Proof*: reduce to \mathbb{C} . Then algebraic representation $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ uniquely determined by abstract representation $\rho : \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$. $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a)$ finitely generated implies ρ has values in $GL(r, A)$, A ring of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$. Reduce ρ to $\rho \otimes \kappa(s) : \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, a) \rightarrow GL(r, \kappa(s))$. Then ρ non-trivial iff $\rho \otimes \kappa(s)$ non-trivial for some s .

- ▶ Ex.(i): X projective, $Y = \text{point}$, then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\}$ iff no non-trivial connection.

- ▶ Ex.(i): X projective, $Y = \text{point}$, then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\}$ iff no non-trivial connection.
- ▶ Ex. (ii): X projective, $f = \text{Albanese}$, then $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ abelian iff irreducible connections have rank 1

Characteristic $p > 0$: Katz' definition

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective/ $K = \bar{K}$ of char. $p > 0$. Analogy to $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a)$?

Characteristic $p > 0$: Katz' definition

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective/ $K = \bar{K}$ of char. $p > 0$. Analogy to $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a)$?
- ▶ $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ (Katz '70s): Automorphism of the fiber functor (\mathcal{O} coherent \mathcal{D} -modules E) $\mapsto (K \text{ vector space } E|_a)$

Characteristic $p > 0$: Katz' definition

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective/ $K = \bar{K}$ of char. $p > 0$. Analogy to $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a)$?
- ▶ $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ (Katz '70s): Automorphism of the fiber functor (\mathcal{O} coherent \mathcal{D} -modules E) \mapsto (K vector space $E|_a$)

- ▶ **Thm** (Gieseker + dos Santos):

$$\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$$

Characteristic $p > 0$: Katz' definition

- ▶ X smooth quasi-projective/ $K = \bar{K}$ of char. $p > 0$. Analogy to $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a)$?
- ▶ $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ (Katz '70s): Automorphism of the fiber functor (\mathcal{O} coherent \mathcal{D} -modules E) $\mapsto (K \text{ vector space } E|_a)$
- ▶ **Thm** (Gieseker + dos Santos):
$$\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$$
- ▶ so: Tannaka group of a stratification is finite implies it is smooth and a finite étale cover yields such a stratification by push-down of the trivial one

Regular Singular, Tameness

- ▶ **Defn** (SGA1): If $X \subset \hat{X}$ is a NCD compactification, then a finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is *tame* iff the finite étale cover of Dedekind schemes at all codim. 1 points of $\hat{X} \setminus X$ is tame.

Regular Singular, Tameness

- ▶ **Defn** (SGA1): If $X \subset \hat{X}$ is a NCD compactification, then a finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is *tame* iff the finite étale cover of Dedekind schemes at all codim. 1 points of $\hat{X} \setminus X$ is tame.
- ▶ **Defn** (Katz-Gieseker): If $X \subset \hat{X}$ is a NCD compactification, then E *regular singular* (rs) iff E admits a coherent extension \hat{E} to \hat{X} on which $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{X}}(\log(\hat{X} \setminus X))$ acts, extending the action of \mathcal{D}_X action on E .

Regular Singular, Tameness

- ▶ **Defn** (SGA1): If $X \subset \hat{X}$ is a NCD compactification, then a finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is *tame* iff the finite étale cover of Dedekind schemes at all codim. 1 points of $\hat{X} \setminus X$ is tame.
- ▶ **Defn** (Katz-Gieseker): If $X \subset \hat{X}$ is a NCD compactification, then E *regular singular* (rs) iff E admits a coherent extension \hat{E} to \hat{X} on which $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{X}}(\log(\hat{X} \setminus X))$ acts, extending the action of \mathcal{D}_X action on E .
- ▶ **Defn** (Kerz-Schmidt/Wiesend): A finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is *tame* iff the finite étale cover of Dedekind schemes at all codim. 1 points of $\hat{X} \setminus X$ is tame for all partial (i.e. not necessarily proper) NCD compactifications.

Regular Singular, Tameness

- ▶ **Defn** (SGA1): If $X \subset \hat{X}$ is a NCD compactification, then a finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is *tame* iff the finite étale cover of Dedekind schemes at all codim. 1 points of $\hat{X} \setminus X$ is tame.
- ▶ **Defn** (Katz-Gieseker): If $X \subset \hat{X}$ is a NCD compactification, then E *regular singular* (rs) iff E admits a coherent extension \hat{E} to \hat{X} on which $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{X}}(\log(\hat{X} \setminus X))$ acts, extending the action of \mathcal{D}_X action on E .
- ▶ **Defn** (Kerz-Schmidt/Wiesend): A finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is *tame* iff the finite étale cover of Dedekind schemes at all codim. 1 points of $\hat{X} \setminus X$ is tame for all partial (i.e. not necessarily proper) NCD compactifications.
- ▶ **Defn** (Kindler): E on X is *rs* iff for all $X \subset \hat{X}$ partial (i.e. not necessarily proper) NCD compactifications, E admits a coherent extension \hat{E} to \hat{X} on which $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{X}}(\log(\hat{X} \setminus X))$ acts, extending the action of \mathcal{D}_X on E .

- ▶ **Thm** (Kindler):

$$\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a)$$

- ▶ **Thm** (Kindler):

$$\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a)$$

- ▶ **Thm** (Kerz-Schmidt): $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ finite étale is tame iff it is on all $C \rightarrow X$.

- ▶ **Thm** (Kindler):

$$\pi_1^{\text{alg,rs}}(X, a) \xrightarrow{\text{pro-finite completion}} \pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a)$$

- ▶ **Thm** (Kerz-Schmidt): $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ finite étale is tame iff it is on all $C \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ **Cor** (Kindler): E with finite Tannaka group, then E rs iff it is on all $C \rightarrow X$.

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$

- ▶ **Thm** (Katz: Ersatz for Riemann-Hilbert): $K = \bar{K}$.
Equivalence of Tannaka categories (\mathcal{O}_X coherent \mathcal{D}_X module E) \leftrightarrow (stratifications (E^n, σ^n) , E^n vector bundle on $X^{(n)}$, $\sigma^n : E^n \cong F^* E^{n+1}$), via Cartier iso. for p -curvature 0 connections

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$

- ▶ **Thm** (Katz: Ersatz for Riemann-Hilbert): $K = \bar{K}$.
Equivalence of Tannaka categories (\mathcal{O}_X coherent \mathcal{D}_X module E) \leftrightarrow (stratifications (E^n, σ^n) , E^n vector bundle on $X^{(n)}$, $\sigma^n : E^n \cong F^* E^{n+1}$), via Cartier iso. for p -curvature 0 connections
- ▶ **Conj** (Gieseker '75): Ex. (i) in char. > 0 , i.e.: X smooth projective over $K = \bar{K}$, if $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\}$, then no non-trivial stratification?

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$

- ▶ **Thm** (Katz: Ersatz for Riemann-Hilbert): $K = \bar{K}$.
Equivalence of Tannaka categories (\mathcal{O}_X coherent \mathcal{D}_X module E) \leftrightarrow (stratifications (E^n, σ^n) , E^n vector bundle on $X^{(n)}$, $\sigma^n : E^n \cong F^* E^{n+1}$), via Cartier iso. for p -curvature 0 connections
- ▶ **Conj** (Gieseker '75): Ex. (i) in char. > 0 , i.e.: X smooth projective over $K = \bar{K}$, if $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\}$, then no non-trivial stratification?
- ▶ **Thm** (Esnault-Mehta '10): yes

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$

- ▶ **Thm** (Katz: Ersatz for Riemann-Hilbert): $K = \bar{K}$.
Equivalence of Tannaka categories (\mathcal{O}_X coherent \mathcal{D}_X module E) \leftrightarrow (stratifications (E^n, σ^n) , E^n vector bundle on $X^{(n)}$, $\sigma^n : E^n \cong F^* E^{n+1}$), via Cartier iso. for p -curvature 0 connections
- ▶ **Conj** (Gieseker '75): Ex. (i) in char. > 0 , i.e.: X smooth projective over $K = \bar{K}$, if $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\}$, then no non-trivial stratification?
- ▶ **Thm** (Esnault-Mehta '10): yes
- ▶ *Proof*: No group of finite type controlling the situation.

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$

- ▶ **Thm** (Katz: Ersatz for Riemann-Hilbert): $K = \bar{K}$.
Equivalence of Tannaka categories (\mathcal{O}_X coherent \mathcal{D}_X module E) \leftrightarrow (stratifications (E^n, σ^n) , E^n vector bundle on $X^{(n)}$, $\sigma^n : E^n \cong F^* E^{n+1}$), via Cartier iso. for p -curvature 0 connections
- ▶ **Conj** (Gieseker '75): Ex. (i) in char. > 0 , i.e.: X smooth projective over $K = \bar{K}$, if $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\}$, then no non-trivial stratification?
- ▶ **Thm** (Esnault-Mehta '10): yes
- ▶ *Proof*: No group of finite type controlling the situation.
- ▶ \mathbb{C} : work with ρ with $GL(r, A)$ values, A of f.t. over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$

- ▶ **Thm** (Katz: Ersatz for Riemann-Hilbert): $K = \bar{K}$.
Equivalence of Tannaka categories (\mathcal{O}_X coherent \mathcal{D}_X module E) \leftrightarrow (stratifications (E^n, σ^n) , E^n vector bundle on $X^{(n)}$, $\sigma^n : E^n \cong F^* E^{n+1}$), via Cartier iso. for p -curvature 0 connections
- ▶ **Conj** (Gieseker '75): Ex. (i) in char. > 0 , i.e.: X smooth projective over $K = \bar{K}$, if $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\}$, then no non-trivial stratification?
- ▶ **Thm** (Esnault-Mehta '10): yes
- ▶ *Proof*: No group of finite type controlling the situation.
- ▶ \mathbb{C} : work with ρ with $GL(r, A)$ values, A of f.t. over $\mathbb{Z}[1/M]$
- ▶ char. $p > 0$: Tannaka formalism allows one to reduce to the case where all the $E^{(n)}$ are stable of degree 0, so are moduli points of quasi-projective moduli M (Langer)

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ II

- ▶ \mathbb{C} : $\rho \otimes \kappa(s)$, $s \in \text{Spec } A$, controls non-triviality of ρ (trivially)

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ II

- ▶ \mathbb{C} : $\rho \otimes \kappa(s)$, $s \in \text{Spec } A$, controls non-triviality of ρ (trivially)
- ▶ char. $p > 0$: (subscheme spanned by the $E^{(n)}$ in M) $\otimes \kappa(s)$ controls non-triviality of $(E^{(n)})_n$. Highly non-trivial. Requires the use of Hrushovsky's thm in model theory which enables one to find points E on $M \otimes \kappa(s)$ which are Frobenius invariant thus define a non-trivial finite étale cover as a Lang torsor.

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ II

- ▶ \mathbb{C} : $\rho \otimes \kappa(s)$, $s \in \text{Spec } A$, controls non-triviality of ρ (trivially)
- ▶ char. $p > 0$: (subscheme spanned by the $E^{(n)}$ in M) $\otimes \kappa(s)$ controls non-triviality of $(E^{(n)})_n$. Highly non-trivial. Requires the use of Hrushovsky's thm in model theory which enables one to find points E on $M \otimes \kappa(s)$ which are Frobenius invariant thus define a non-trivial finite étale cover as a Lang torsor.
- ▶ Analog Ex.(ii) also true (second Gieseker conjecture)
Thm (Esnault-Sun '11): X smooth projective over $K = \bar{K}$, then $[\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a), \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)]$ has no p -power order quotient iff irreducible stratifications have rank 1, and the category of stratifications is semi-simple with irreducible objects of rank 1 iff $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ is abelian with no p -power order quotient.

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ III

- ▶ **Question:** Analog of Grothendieck-Malcev theorem? So relative version $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and in addition, X, Y not necessarily projective.

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ III

- ▶ **Question:** Analog of Grothendieck-Malcev theorem? So relative version $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and in addition, X, Y not necessarily projective.
- ▶ **Formulation:** X smooth quasi-projective over $K = \bar{K}$. Does one have:
 - (a) $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial stratification?
 - (b) $\pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial rs stratification?

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ III

- ▶ **Question:** Analog of Grothendieck-Malcev theorem? So relative version $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and in addition, X, Y not necessarily projective.
- ▶ **Formulation:** X smooth quasi-projective over $K = \bar{K}$. Does one have:
 - (a) $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial stratification?
 - (b) $\pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial rs stratification?
- ▶ Note (a) has a negative answer over \mathbb{C} : over \mathbb{A}^1 , one has non-trivial stratification, but no rs ones ((b)).

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ III

- ▶ **Question:** Analog of Grothendieck-Malcev theorem? So relative version $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and in addition, X, Y not necessarily projective.
- ▶ **Formulation:** X smooth quasi-projective over $K = \bar{K}$. Does one have:
 - (a) $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial stratification?
 - (b) $\pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial rs stratification?
- ▶ Note (a) has a negative answer over \mathbb{C} : over \mathbb{A}^1 , one has non-trivial stratification, but no rs ones ((b)).
- ▶ **Prop** (Kindler): (b) OK for rank 1 and the maximal abelian quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a)$

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a)$ controls $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X, a)$ III

- ▶ **Question:** Analog of Grothendieck-Malcev theorem? So relative version $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and in addition, X, Y not necessarily projective.
- ▶ **Formulation:** X smooth quasi-projective over $K = \bar{K}$. Does one have:
 - (a) $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial stratification?
 - (b) $\pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a) = \{1\} \Rightarrow$ no non-trivial rs stratification?
- ▶ Note (a) has a negative answer over \mathbb{C} : over \mathbb{A}^1 , one has non-trivial stratification, but no rs ones ((b)).
- ▶ **Prop** (Kindler): (b) OK for rank 1 and the maximal abelian quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{ét,tame}}(X, a)$
- ▶ **Prop** (Esnault-Kindler): (b) OK for \mathbb{A}^n